Bunker Cave stalagmites: an archive for central European Holocene climate variability
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Abstract. Holocene climate was characterised by variability on multi-centennial to multi-decadal time scales. In central Europe, these fluctuations were most pronounced during winter. Here we present a record of past winter climate variability for the last 10.8 ka based on four speleothems from Bunker Cave, western Germany. Due to its central European location, the cave site is particularly well suited to record changes in precipitation and temperature in response to changes in the North Atlantic realm. We present high-resolution records of $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{13}C$ values and Mg/Ca ratios. Changes in the Mg/Ca ratio are attributed to past meteoric precipitation variability. The stable C isotope composition of the speleothems most likely reflects changes in vegetation and precipitation, and variations in the $\delta^{18}O$ signal are interpreted as variations in meteoric precipitation and temperature. We found cold and dry periods between 8 and 7 ka, 6.5 and 5.5 ka, 4 and 3 ka as well as between 0.7 and 0.2 ka. The proxy signals in the Bunker Cave stalagmites compare well with other isootope records and, thus, seem representative for central European Holocene climate variability. The prominent 8.2 ka event and the Little Ice Age cold events are both recorded in the Bunker Cave record. However, these events show a contrasting relationship between climate and $\delta^{18}O$, which is explained by different causes underlying the two climate anomalies. Whereas the Little Ice Age is attributed to a pronounced negative phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation, the 8.2 ka event was triggered by cooler conditions in the North Atlantic due to a slowdown of the thermohaline circulation.

1 Introduction

The Holocene represents an epoch of relatively stable, warm climate conditions, particularly in comparison to the large, rapid changes that occurred during the Last Glacial. The largest climate anomaly during the Holocene was the short 8.2 ka cold event (Alley et al., 1997), which has been identified in several climate records (e.g. von Grafenstein et al., 1998; North Greenland Ice Core Project members, 2004; Boch et al., 2009). However, for Europe, several periods during the Holocene with warm/wet and cold/dry climate have been reported (see, for instance, the summaries in Wanner et al., 2008, 2011).

Various archives for terrestrial past climate variability have been evaluated in order to disentangle the complex patterns of Holocene climate change. For instance, numerous studies on tree rings (e.g. Friedrich et al., 1999; Spurk et al., 2002; Büntgen et al., 2010), lake sediments (e.g. Guiot et al., 1993; Magny, 2004; Davis et al., 2003, and references therein) and
glaciers (e.g. Holzhauser et al., 2005; Joerin et al., 2006; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009) contributed important information on past Holocene climate variability in Europe. Most of these archives are known to mainly record spring to summer conditions. In contrast, speleothems, and stalagmites in particular, provide the opportunity to reconstruct climate conditions during autumn and winter in central Europe (Wackenbarth et al., 2010). The main reason for this is that enhanced evapotranspiration during spring and summer months leads to reduced infiltration into the karst aquifer. Thus, the major proportion of the drip water feeding the speleothems in most central European caves originates from winter precipitation. In order to gain comprehensive insight into past climate variability, it is important to differentiate between different seasons. For example, Davis et al. (2003) showed in a compilation of European pollen data that temperature variations during the Holocene largely differ between the warm and cold seasons, with larger fluctuations occurring during winter.

Within the last decade, several Holocene climate reconstructions for central Europe based on speleothems have been published (e.g. McDermott et al., 1999; Frisia et al., 2003; Niggemann et al., 2003; Mangini et al., 2005; Vollweiler et al., 2006; Boch et al., 2009). However, none of the currently available speleothem records for central Europe covers the entire Holocene. Here we present a central European composite stalagmite record from Bunker Cave, western Germany, covering the last 10.8 ka. We show high-resolution stable C and O isotope data as well as Mg/Ca ratios. This multi-proxy reconstruction enables a robust reconstruction of past winter climate variability in central Europe.

2 Cave site and methods

2.1 Cave site description

Bunker Cave is located at 51°22'03"N, 7°39'53"E in western Germany (Sauerland, Fig. 1) and belongs to a large cave system, which consists of several closely situated caves. The first of these caves, which is located about 13 m higher than Bunker Cave, was artificially opened in 1860 AD. Bunker Cave itself was discovered in 1926 during road works, and it turned out that both caves are connected. During the Second World War, the entrance area of the cave was artificially enlarged. The entrance to the cave is situated 184 m above sea level on a south-facing hill slope, and the chambers, where the stalagmites were sampled, are on the same level as the lower entrance. The individual stages of the cave opening probably led to a better ventilation of the cave, resulting in lower pCO2 values of the cave atmosphere. In general, a stronger ventilation may be reflected in an increase in δ13C values of speleothem calcite as convincingly demonstrated in a monitoring study by Tremaine et al. (2011). The effect on the Mg/Ca ratios and δ18O values of speleothem calcite should be much lower. Cave monitoring data show that the ventilation of Bunker Cave has no strong seasonality (Riechelmann et al., 2011), which is ascribed to the two artificial entrances and the horizontal geometry of this part of the cave. Before the cave was opened, gas exchange between the cave interior and the free atmosphere was most likely limited to small fissures at both levels of the cave system resulting in a constant annual ventilation regime similar to today. However, the mean value of cave pCO2 was probably substantially higher.

The cave is developed in Middle to Upper Devonian, low-Mg limestone hosting thin dolomite veins. The thickness of the host rock above the cave ranges from 15 to 30 m, which is covered by up to 70 cm of soil (inceptisol/alfisol developed from loess loam). Vegetation above the cave consists entirely of C3 plants, i.e. mainly ash and beech as well as scrub vegetation. The mean annual air and water temperature in the cave is about 10.8 °C, and the mean annual amount of precipitation in the area is around 950 mm a−1 and equally distributed throughout the year. The δ18O values of precipitation are between −6‰ (summer months) and −10‰ (winter months). Further cave and monitoring details can be found elsewhere (e.g. Immenhauser et al., 2010; Kluge et al., 2010; Riechelmann et al., 2011; Münsterer et al., 2012).

Four speleothems, which grew within a maximum distance of 12 m from each other, were removed from the cave for this study (Bu1, Bu2, Bu4 and Bu6). Stalagmite Bu1 has a length of about 65 cm. Bu2 and Bu4 are approximately 20 cm long, whereas Bu6 is a flowstone with a length of about 6 cm (Fig. 1). All stalagmites have a diameter of about 5 to 10 cm and were sampled under actively dripping sites. The drip sites of the investigated stalagmites have been monitored within the framework of a comprehensive, long-term cave monitoring program (Riechelmann et al., 2011). The δ18O values of the drip water show that the recharge water is well mixed within the aquifer and corresponds to the infiltration weighted annual mean δ18O value of precipitation, which is approximately −8.1‰ (Wackenbarth et al., 2010; Riechelmann et al., 2011). In stable isotope equilibrium, we would expect the δ18O value of the precipitated calcite to be about −7.4‰ under present-day conditions (applying the fractionation factor of Kim and O’Neil, 1997). However, experiments with recent calcite precipitates reveal that the δ18O value is between −5.6 and −6.3‰ (Riechelmann et al., 2012). This discrepancy is explained by isotopic disequilibrium during calcite precipitation.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Th/U dating

Samples for Th/U-dating were cut from the growth axis of the stalagmites using a diamond-coated band saw. The thickness (in growth direction) of individual samples is typically 4 mm. All samples were analysed by thermal ionisation
mass spectrometry (TIMS) at the Heidelberg Academy of Sciences. Methods used for sample preparation and mass spectrometric analysis are explained in detail in Frank et al. (2000) and Holzkämper et al. (2005). The calibration of the U and Th spikes is described in Hoffmann et al. (2007). Due to the relatively low U content of the samples, Th/U-dating of Holocene speleothems from Bunker Cave using the TIMS method is challenging. Therefore, a Th solution with precisely determined concentration and isotopic composition was added to some sub-samples in order to increase the analysis time for Th and to improve counting statistics. The measured isotope ratios were corrected accordingly, and the uncertainties in concentration and isotopic composition of the added Th solution were propagated to the final age errors. Ages were calculated using the half lives of Cheng et al. (2000). Correction for detrital contamination assumes a $^{232}$Th/$^{238}$U concentration ratio of $3.8 \pm 1.9$ and $^{230}$Th, $^{234}$U and $^{238}$U in secular equilibrium. Age uncertainties are quoted at the 2-$\sigma$ level and do not include half-life uncertainties. The reference year for all ages given in the study is 1950 AD.

### 2.2.2 Radiocarbon dating

Samples for radiocarbon dating were drilled in a CO$_2$-free atmosphere in the Heidelberg radiocarbon laboratory using a hand-held dental drill with a burr diameter of 1 mm. Sub-samples from the very top of the stalagmites were milled from the stalagmite surface. The uppermost layers ($\sim 100 \mu$m) of the stalagmite, which may be contaminated due to exchange with atmospheric carbon, were not used for analysis. The CaCO$_3$ powder was acidified (HCl) in vacuo, and the resulting CO$_2$ was combusted with H$_2$ to C on a Fe catalyst at 575 °C. The samples were measured at the MICADAS at ETH Zurich (Synal et al., 2007).

### 2.2.3 $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O analysis

The stalagmites were micro-milled continuously along the growth axis at increments of 0.3 mm for Bu1 and Bu6, 0.2 mm for Bu4 and 0.15 mm for Bu2. Stable C and O isotopes were measured at the triple collector gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometer of the University of Innsbruck. The mass spectrometer is linked to an on-line, automated carbonate preparation system (for details, see Spötl and Mattey, 2006). Isotope ratios are reported against the VPDB scale, and the 1$\sigma$ precision is 0.06 and 0.08 ‰ for $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O, respectively.

### 2.2.4 Magnesium and calcium analyses

The stalagmites were cut along the growth axis into 2-cm-long pieces, which were mounted in epoxy resin discs and polished. Mg/Ca ratios were measured parallel to the growth axis. The continuous profile is located within 2 mm of the stable isotope track. Mg/Ca ratios of the speleothems were measured by Laser Ablation ICP-MS (LA-ICPMS) at the Mineralogical Institute, Frankfurt, Germany, using a New Wave UP213 ultraviolet laser system, coupled to a ThermoFinnigan Element II sector field ICP-MS (Gerdes and Zeh, 2006). Element data were continuously acquired using a 60 µm circular ablation spot and a scan speed of 10 µm s$^{-1}$. The method produces approximately 40 data points per mm corresponding to a spatial resolution of 25 µm. Background counts, measured with the laser in off mode, were subtracted from the raw data. All data are normalized to the Ca content of the calcite and standardized against NIST 612 glass (Pearce et al., 1996), which was measured before and after each sample. A continuous sample scan had a maximum length of 2 cm.
2.2.5 Microscopy

Thin sections were made from all four stalagmites by sawing a thin slice near the growth axis, next to the element track, which were broken into approximately 4-cm-long pieces in order to obtain a continuous series of thin sections. These pieces were stuck on small glass plates and polished to 30 µm thickness. These thin sections were examined using standard transmitted-light microscopy as well as using a hot cathode-CL-microscope (type Lumin HC1-LM; Neuser et al., 1995).

3 Results

3.1 Th/U-dating and microscopic analysis

Ten sub-samples from stalagmite Bu1, four sub-samples from Bu2, eleven sub-samples from Bu4 and three sub-samples from Bu6 were dated (Table 1). Dating of the samples was challenging due to the relatively low U content (∼0.1 ppm). The 2σ-age uncertainty is between 100 and 300 a for most samples (Table 1). Some samples contain elevated amounts of detrital Th (between 2 and 3 ng g⁻¹, Table 1) leading to significant age corrections. Stalagmite Bu6 covers the period between 10.7 and 8.8 ka BP, and the Holocene part of Bu2 (i.e. ∼ the upper 7 cm) grew between 10.7 and 7.7 ka BP. Bu4 covers the last approximately 8.1 ka (Fig. 2). Thin sections revealed that Bu4 is dominated by columnar crystals, which indicates relatively slow and constant growth rates. Two small detritus layers were observed at about 15 and 17 cm distance from top (dft), which are identified as coralloid layers (Fig. 3b). These layers probably reflect periods of limited growth, which, however, seem to have been short since Th/U dating does not resolve a growth stop. Petrographic investigation of Bu1 shows at approximately 17 cm dft a detritus-rich layer revealing a hiatus. The duration of this interruption of stalagmite growth cannot be determined precisely due to unreliable Th/U dates in the interval between 14.6 and 30 cm dft. Hence, age data for Bu1 are only presented between the top and 14 cm dft as well as from 31 to 49 cm dft including the periods between “pre-modern” (Sect. 3.2) and 1.6 ka BP as well as 4.7 and 6.7 ka BP (Fig. 2). During the latter period Bu1 consists mainly of fast growing dendritic crystals, in agreement with the growth rate derived from the age measurements. In the section below ∼49 cm dft, it was again impossible to measure reliable dates. The bottom section of Bu1 (∼10 cm) grew during the Eemian. Thin section analysis of the top of Bu2 and Bu6 indicates brown layers, which are interpreted as detrital layers highlighting hiatuses. Hence, data for the upper 7 (Bu2) and 2 mm (Bu6) were discarded. The other parts of Bu2 and Bu6 as well as the younger part of Bu1 are solely formed of columnar crystals (Fig. 3b), which reveal relatively slow and constant growth rates.

3.2 Radiocarbon

Three radiocarbon analyses were performed at the top sections of stalagmite Bu4 and Bu1. This enabled to test whether the stalagmites stopped growing before or after the atmospheric radiocarbon anomaly in the middle of the 20th century (e.g. Levin and Kromer, 2004; Hua and Barbetti, 2004). Due to the low U content (Table 1), the Th/U age data are not precise enough to verify/falseify recent speleothem growth. Bu1 does not show a clear bomb-peak, whereas Bu4 reveals a typical increase and decrease in its uppermost 2 mm as expected for the radiocarbon bomb-pulse captured in stalagmites (see e.g. Genty and Massault, 1999; Mattey et al., 2008; Fohlmeister et al., 2011, Table 2). This suggests that Bu4 was actively growing until its removal, whereas Bu1 definitely stopped growing before 1950 AD.

3.3 Chronology

The growth phases of all four stalagmites cover the last 10.8 ka. During several intervals, two stalagmites grew contemporaneously (i.e. from 0 to 1.6 ka; from 4.7 to 6.7 ka; from 7.7 to 8.1 ka and between 8.8 and 10.7 ka, Fig. 2). Thus, it is possible to test whether the proxy signals recorded in individual stalagmites are reproducible. If this is the case, a dominant influence of local, drip-site specific effects can be ruled out, and the proxy signals likely reflect past climate variability. The temporally overlapping sections allow us to assemble a composite record. We a priori assume that the δ¹⁸O records of the four stalagmites represent one common signal. The records are combined with iscam (intra-site correlation age modelling; Fohlmeister, 2012). This method correlates dated proxy signals from several stalagmites, determines the most probable age-depth model and calculates the age uncertainty for the combined record. iscam can be used to quantitatively verify whether signals from two individual stalagmites have a common signal within the age errors. Furthermore, the algorithm is able to prove whether the resulting correlation is statistically significant. This provides the advantage of enlarging the signal-to-noise ratio and minimising the age uncertainties within the overlapping periods. In addition, iscam can be used to test if the signals of two archives correlate above significance limits, which indicate that the observed variations have a common cause.

For age-depth modelling, both the Th/U ages and the radiocarbon measurements are used. The ¹⁴C measurements of Bu4 show a typical bomb-peak as expected from speleothem calcite precipitated during the last 60 a. An additional constraint is given by the observation that the drip water from the corresponding active drip site is supersaturated with respect to calcite. This indicates that under present-day conditions calcite can be precipitated. Both findings provide us a tie point, and we conservatively prescribed the top age of Bu4 to be 1997 AD ± 10 a for the age-depth modelling (Bu4 was removed in 2007 AD). For the top of Bu1, the ¹⁴C bomb peak
Table 1. Uranium and thorium isotopic compositions and $^{230}$Th ages for Bunker Cave stalagmites Bu1, Bu2, Bu4 and Bu6 measured by TIMS. Errors are $2\sigma$ analytical errors. Corrected $^{230}$Th ages assume an initial $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ concentration ratio of 3.8±1.9. Samples marked by an asterisk indicate addition of extra Th (see Sect. 2.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$^{238}\text{U}$ [ppb]</th>
<th>$^{232}\text{Th}$ [ppt]</th>
<th>$\delta^{234}\text{U}$ [%]</th>
<th>($^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$) act. ratio</th>
<th>age$_{uncorrected}$ [ka BP]</th>
<th>age$_{corrected}$ [ka BP]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bu1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-2 cm</td>
<td>44.4±0.1</td>
<td>36±2</td>
<td>390±11</td>
<td>0.0078±0.0027</td>
<td>0.57±0.21</td>
<td>0.56±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-3.3 cm*</td>
<td>48.08±0.1</td>
<td>274±29</td>
<td>354±10</td>
<td>0.0082±0.0023</td>
<td>0.72±0.18</td>
<td>0.60±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-9 cm</td>
<td>58.5±0.1</td>
<td>57±1</td>
<td>332±8</td>
<td>0.0139±0.0017</td>
<td>1.11±0.14</td>
<td>1.09±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-14 cm</td>
<td>39.8±0.1</td>
<td>74±1</td>
<td>336±8</td>
<td>0.0193±0.0019</td>
<td>1.57±0.16</td>
<td>1.53±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-31 cm</td>
<td>61.5±0.1</td>
<td>1004±8</td>
<td>292±4</td>
<td>0.0547±0.0052</td>
<td>5.02±0.46</td>
<td>4.66±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-35 cm</td>
<td>60.4±0.1</td>
<td>1403±8</td>
<td>283±5</td>
<td>0.0581±0.0014</td>
<td>5.50±0.13</td>
<td>4.98±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-39.5 cm</td>
<td>68.6±0.1</td>
<td>661±4</td>
<td>252±9</td>
<td>0.0653±0.0026</td>
<td>6.00±0.25</td>
<td>5.78±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-42 cm</td>
<td>84.6±0.1</td>
<td>1317±6</td>
<td>287±4</td>
<td>0.0737±0.0025</td>
<td>7.60±0.23</td>
<td>6.36±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-46 cm</td>
<td>90.7±0.2</td>
<td>100±1</td>
<td>278±6</td>
<td>0.0735±0.0017</td>
<td>6.42±0.16</td>
<td>6.40±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1-49 cm</td>
<td>120.6±0.1</td>
<td>891±8</td>
<td>271±6</td>
<td>0.0759±0.0065</td>
<td>6.81±0.59</td>
<td>6.64±0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu2-1 cm</td>
<td>57.1±0.1</td>
<td>2099±19</td>
<td>440±9</td>
<td>0.0989±0.0029</td>
<td>8.39±0.25</td>
<td>7.66±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu2-4 cm</td>
<td>116.6±0.2</td>
<td>221±3</td>
<td>437±7</td>
<td>0.1174±0.0033</td>
<td>9.24±0.27</td>
<td>9.20±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu2-5.5 cm</td>
<td>135.9±0.1</td>
<td>597±3</td>
<td>442±5</td>
<td>0.1288±0.0021</td>
<td>10.20±0.18</td>
<td>10.11±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu2-7 cm</td>
<td>191.6±0.2</td>
<td>304±2</td>
<td>564±4</td>
<td>0.1469±0.0025</td>
<td>10.68±0.19</td>
<td>10.65±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-1.45 cm*</td>
<td>66±0.1</td>
<td>54±33</td>
<td>662±24</td>
<td>0.0135±0.0022</td>
<td>0.85±0.15</td>
<td>0.83±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-3 cm</td>
<td>79.5±0.2</td>
<td>47±2</td>
<td>501±7</td>
<td>0.0157±0.0019</td>
<td>1.10±0.14</td>
<td>1.09±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-5.5 cm</td>
<td>75.4±0.2</td>
<td>1881±9</td>
<td>514±7</td>
<td>0.0204±0.0011</td>
<td>1.89±0.08</td>
<td>1.42±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-7 cm</td>
<td>72.0±0.1</td>
<td>590±4</td>
<td>548±7</td>
<td>0.0256±0.0020</td>
<td>1.91±0.14</td>
<td>1.76±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-9 cm</td>
<td>68.6±0.1</td>
<td>227±2</td>
<td>582±8</td>
<td>0.0361±0.0018</td>
<td>2.52±0.13</td>
<td>2.46±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-10.15 cm*</td>
<td>57.7±0.1</td>
<td>592±31</td>
<td>558±10</td>
<td>0.0480±0.0022</td>
<td>3.53±0.16</td>
<td>3.35±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-12 cm</td>
<td>95.8±0.2</td>
<td>390±2</td>
<td>598±7</td>
<td>0.0569±0.0021</td>
<td>3.96±0.15</td>
<td>3.89±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-13.6 cm*</td>
<td>102.9±0.2</td>
<td>1038±27</td>
<td>587±7</td>
<td>0.0724±0.0016</td>
<td>5.20±0.11</td>
<td>5.02±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-15.1 cm*</td>
<td>69.1±0.1</td>
<td>2019±27</td>
<td>664±7</td>
<td>0.0888±0.0034</td>
<td>6.39±0.24</td>
<td>5.89±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-17.1 cm*</td>
<td>69±0.1</td>
<td>1200±41</td>
<td>600±10</td>
<td>0.1027±0.0051</td>
<td>7.45±0.37</td>
<td>7.14±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4-19.25 cm*</td>
<td>84.1±0.2</td>
<td>368±30</td>
<td>537±9</td>
<td>0.1103±0.0029</td>
<td>8.11±0.22</td>
<td>8.03±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu6-0.8 cm</td>
<td>219.1±0.4</td>
<td>1437±8</td>
<td>277±4</td>
<td>0.0996±0.0017</td>
<td>8.93±0.16</td>
<td>8.78±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu6-2.5 cm</td>
<td>199.4±0.4</td>
<td>333±2</td>
<td>271±5</td>
<td>0.1127±0.0018</td>
<td>10.08±0.18</td>
<td>10.04±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu6-3.8 cm</td>
<td>237.0±0.5</td>
<td>2779±14</td>
<td>275±6</td>
<td>0.1190±0.0032</td>
<td>10.86±0.30</td>
<td>10.59±0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is not visible. Thus, the stalagmite definitely stopped growing before 1950 AD. In addition, the strong increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values observed for Bu4 is not present in Bu1 (Sect. 3.4, Fig. 3) suggesting that Bu1 stopped growing prior to the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ increase. In order to provide iscam the possibility to define the timing of the growth stop appropriately, we prescribed that the top section of Bu1 ceased growing around 100 a BP and included a large uncertainty of 40 a.

Iscam uses the available age information (means and errors) and the variation in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal of the four stalagmites in order to obtain the best age-depth model. For a detailed description of the method, the reader is referred to the original publication (Fohlmeister, 2012). Usually, the age uncertainties in the overlapping periods are smaller than in the periods without overlaps (Fig. 2). Hence, jumps in the uncertainty range may occur at transitions between overlapping and non-overlapping parts. In the non-overlapping parts of the stalagmites, the age-depth models are constructed by linear interpolation between dated depths. The shaded areas of the overlapping periods between Bu4 and Bu2 as well as between Bu2 and Bu6 represent 68% confidence intervals. The correlation coefficient of the according $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ time series does not exceed the 95% threshold. The threshold to the 95% interval for the correlation coefficient between Bu2 and Bu6 is only missed by 0.01. The reason for missing the 95% interval range between Bu2 and Bu4 is related to the relatively short overlapping interval between both stalagmites (Fohlmeister, 2012).
Table 2. $^{14}$C activity of the top sections from stalagmites Bu1 and Bu4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Depth [mm]</th>
<th>HD analysis number</th>
<th>$^{14}$C activity [pmC]</th>
<th>$1\sigma$ error [pmC]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bu1 xix</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>29116</td>
<td>91.35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1 xx</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>29117</td>
<td>91.81</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu1 x</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>26607</td>
<td>91.14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4 Top</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28403</td>
<td>97.44</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4 1 mm</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>28404</td>
<td>100.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu4 2 mm</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>28405</td>
<td>87.83</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Th/U ages (solid squares) and associated 2σ-uncertainties as well as the age-depth models (solid line) for the four stalagmites calculated using iscam (Fohlmeister, 2012). The shaded areas define the 2σ-uncertainty range. The thin dotted lines denote periods that are contained in two stalagmites (i.e. overlapping sections).

3.4 $^{\delta 13}C$, $^{\delta 18}O$ and Mg/Ca time series

Given that the spatial resolution of the Mg/Ca elemental ratios exceeds that of the carbon and oxygen isotope record, the elemental proxy has been brought to the resolution of the $^{\delta 13}C$ and $^{\delta 18}O$ records. For this purpose, all Mg/Ca ratios within the depth range of one stable isotope sample have been averaged. The Mg/Ca data (Fig. 3a) show a decreasing trend within the last 10.8 ka. During the early Holocene, Bu2 and Bu6 show $^{\delta 13}C$ values between −9 and −10‰. As for Mg/Ca, the values of Bu6 are lower than those of Bu2. The $^{\delta 13}C$ values of Bu2 increase between 8.5 and 7.5 ka, which is in agreement with the Bu4 record. The $^{\delta 13}C$ values of the four Bunker stalagmites show maximum values about −7‰ between 8 and 6 ka. After 6 ka, the $^{\delta 13}C$ values of Bu4 decrease. In the recent past, Bu4 has shown a steep increase by about 4‰ in $^{\delta 13}C$. Bu1 generally shows the same pattern as Bu4, especially in the young section of the two stalagmites. However, the $^{\delta 13}C$ values of Bu1 are lower compared to those of Bu4. Furthermore, the rapid increase measured in the recent part of Bu4 is not visible in Bu1 (Fig. 3c) confirming that Bu1 stopped growing before this anomaly occurred.
The $\delta^{18}O$ values were used to determine the age model for the composite record. Therefore, the $\delta^{18}O$ records in overlapping parts of different stalagmites are in agreement (Fig. 3d). The high correlation coefficients (0.9 for the young parts of Bu1 and Bu4, 0.79 for the old parts of Bu1 and Bu4, 0.72 for Bu2 and Bu4 and 0.76 for Bu2 and Bu6) strongly suggest that the $\delta^{18}O$ values reflect climate conditions above Bunker Cave rather than site-specific effects. This is also supported by the similar absolute $\delta^{18}O$ values of all four stalagmites. $\delta^{18}O$ variability during the last 10.8 ka is in the range of 2‰, with the highest values observed at 0.5 ka ($-5\%$) and the lowest values ($-7\%$) recorded around 10 ka (Fig. 3d).

4 Discussion

4.1 Mg/Ca ratios

Previous work suggested that the speleothem calcite Mg/Ca ratio is a qualitative proxy for the amount of precipitation above the cave or infiltration into the karst aquifer (see Fairchild and Treble, 2009, and citations therein). Regarding the Mg/Ca records from Bunker Cave, first, an explanation approach for the long-term decreasing trend in the Mg/Ca ratio of the stalagmites Bu2 and Bu4 during the Early to Middle Holocene (Fig. 3a) is required. For this purpose, possible variations of the drip water sources feeding the stalagmite must be considered.

Modern rain water at the cave location, collected in a rain gauge (DN 58666C), has a negligible Ca concentration (long-term mean 0.9 mg$^{-1}$) and a mean Mg/Ca ratio of 0.2 ± 0.1 (Riechelmann et al., 2011). Water in the upper soil zone above Bunker Cave has a low Ca concentration and a Mg/Ca ratio of 0.13 ± 0.01. This is related to weathering of the soil clay minerals, such as Mg-bearing chlorite, montmorillonite and illite. Weathering of this siliceous soil material is also documented in seasonal changes in drip water Mg isotope values (Riechelmann et al., 2012). During percolation through the low Mg Devonian limestone, which hosts thin dolomite veins, the water dissolves the host rock with, on average, lower Mg/Ca ratios than the soil water (Mg/Ca: 0.004–0.008, $n = 5$). The resulting drip water feeding the stalagmite represents therefore a mixture of the Mg/Ca ratios of meteoric precipitation, soil zone clay content and host rock carbonate resulting in a Mg/Ca ratio of the drip water of 0.02–0.06 (Riechelmann et al., 2011). During the Last Glacial period, loess was deposited above Bunker Cave (von Kamp and Ribbert, 2005). This loess may have had a higher Mg/Ca ratio. Weathering and leaching during the transition and the Early Holocene removed some of the Mg-bearing loess cover and may have resulted in relatively high Mg/Ca ratios in the stalagmites during the Early Holocene. Progressive weathering and decalcification may have led to elution of the initially high Mg components of the aeolian deposits causing Mg/Ca ratios in the stalagmite to decrease. This may coincide with enhanced weathering in the Atlantic stage as postulated by Richter et al. (2004). We want to emphasize that we do not know if the Mg/Ca ratio of the loess was indeed higher than that of the Devonian host rock. However, this is currently the only explanation for the observed trend in the Mg/Ca ratio.

Short-term variations in Mg/Ca ratios are attributed to the amount of precipitation or infiltration. The extensive long-term monitoring at Bunker Cave (Riechelmann et al., 2011) reveals that a major process affecting the Mg/Ca ratio in stalagmites is prior calcite precipitation (PCP), i.e. calcite that precipitates before the solution reaches the stalagmite. Lower infiltration into the karst aquifer leads to a higher proportion of air compared to water in the host rock favouring degassing of CO$_2$ and, thus, PCP. Lower rainfall amounts also lead to lower drip rates and, thus, more PCP at the cave ceiling. In both cases, the Mg/Ca ratio in the stalagmites should increase as has been demonstrated in various studies (Tooth and Fairchild, 2003; Cruz Jr. et al., 2007). The effect of PCP on the Mg/Ca ratio during dry periods may be further amplified by an additional process: Longer residence times of the percolating water in the host rock result in higher Mg/Ca ratios due to the different dissolution characteristics of calcite and dolomite (Fairchild and Treble, 2009).

We interpret the short-term variations in the Mg/Ca ratios of the Bunker Cave stalagmites during the Holocene (Fig. 3a) as precipitation variability above the cave. The detrended Mg/Ca ratio (Fig. 4a) is, therefore, interpreted as a proxy for precipitation amount. Higher Mg/Ca ratios in the detrended record are interpreted as dryer periods and vice versa. Based on this interpretation, periods around 5.6, 4.5–3.7, 5.6 and 7.7–7.3 ka BP were characterised by relatively dry conditions. This is supported by petrographic analyses. At 5.6 ka, a thin corallodial layer was found in Bu4 (Fig. 3b). This kind of calcite fabric is formed from aerosols and can therefore only grow during extremely dry conditions, when there is less drip water available (Hill and Forti, 1997). The period from 7–8 ka in Bu4 consists of columnar and dendritic fabrics indicating dry and unstable conditions (Frisia and Borsato, 2010) and contains a further corallodial layer.

The Mg/Ca ratio of Bu1 and its variability is generally lower than that of Bu4 when comparing coeval time intervals (Fig. 3a). This indicates that Bu1 either experienced a faster drip rate resulting in less PCP or a shorter residence time in the karst aquifer resulting in a lower Mg concentration due to less intensive dolomite dissolution. This interpretation agrees with the observations reported in Riechelmann et al. (2011) for monthly collected drip water samples from the drip sites above the two speleothems. The recent drip rate of Bu1 is by about two orders of magnitude faster than the drip rate of Bu4. Furthermore, Mg isotopes showed that the Mg contribution to drip waters is variable showing different Mg proportions derived from the soil and karst, which may explain the Mg/Ca offset between stalagmite Bu1 and Bu4 (Riechelmann et al., 2012).
4.2 $\delta^{13}C$ values

Monitoring of Bunker Cave drip water (Riechelmann et al., 2011) showed only small variability in the $\delta^{13}C$ values of annual drip water collected at different drip sites in the cave. Similarly, only small differences in annual cave air $\rho CO_2$ and $\delta^{13}C$ were detected. As previously discussed, we reasonably assume that the conditions during the Holocene before the cave was artificially opened were similar. Therefore, we can attribute neither the long-term trend nor the short-term variations in speleothem $\delta^{13}C$ values (Fig. 3c) to changes in the seasonality of calcite precipitation or cave ventilation as has been demonstrated for other caves (e.g. Spöl et al., 2005; Frisia et al., 2011; Tremaine et al., 2011). The large increase in the $\delta^{13}C$ values of Bu4 in the last 250 a (Fig. 3c) is anomalous and may be related to the artificial opening of the cave in the late 19th to early 20th century. The $\delta^{13}C$ values of the top section of Bu4 agree well with the $\delta^{13}C$ values of recent calcite precipitates from this drip site (Riechelmann et al., 2012).

The $\delta^{13}C$ values of Bu1 are lower than those of Bu4 during joint growth periods. The mean offset between both records for the last 1.5 ka is ca. 1.3‰. This offset may partly reflect differences in the $\delta^{13}C$ value of the drip water. Modern drip water $\delta^{13}C$ values differ by approximately 0.13 ‰. In addition, variable kinetic isotope fractionation due to different drip rates may have affected the two speleothems, which would further increase the difference in $\delta^{13}C$ values (Scholz et al., 2009; Dreybrodt and Scholz, 2011). Today, the drip rate of Bu1 is about one order of magnitude faster than that of Bu4, which would result in lower calcite $\delta^{13}C$ values for Bu1 in agreement with the measured values.

A similar assessment for the observed differences in stalagmite $\delta^{13}C$ values is also possible using the measured $^{14}C$ values (Table 2). Since cave monitoring data suggest that the drip water of both drip sites originates from the same soil water reservoir, the initial soil water $\delta^{13}C$ and $^{14}C$ values should be comparable for both drip sites. Thus, the observed variability in the drip water should be related to the process of carbonate dissolution, such as the degree of open versus closed conditions, respectively, as well as potential differences in PCP and kinetic isotope fractionation. Bu1 has a dead carbon fraction (dcf) of approximately 7 ‰, whereas Bu4 has a dcf of about 12 ‰ (Table 2). According to similar calculations as described in Griffiths et al. (2012), and assuming mean soil air $\delta^{13}C$ values of about -23 ‰, host rock $\delta^{13}C$ values of +3.5 ‰ (Wurth, 2002) and a mean temperature of 10°C, the $\delta^{13}C$ values of the Ca-saturated water feeding Bu1 should be about 0.5 to 0.6 ‰ lower than that feeding Bu4. The remaining 0.7 to 0.8 ‰ can be attributed to PCP and kinetic isotope fractionation effects. As mentioned before, Bu4 is affected by a higher degree of PCP than Bu1, for which this process is negligible (Riechelmann et al., 2011). In chemical equilibrium with about 5000 ppm CO$_2$ as observed in the soil above Bunker Cave, the drip water should have a HCO$_3^-$ concentration of about 3 mmol l$^{-1}$. The drip water feeding Bu4 has a mean HCO$_3^-$ concentration of about 2.3 mmol l$^{-1}$. A loss of about 0.7 mmol l$^{-1}$ of HCO$_3^-$, e.g. during PCP, is sufficient to increase the $\delta^{13}C$ value by about 0.5 ‰ (Dreybrodt and Scholz, 2011). This would lead to a further enrichment in the $\delta^{13}C$ value of the drip water arriving at Bu4 in addition to the carbonate dissolution systematics. Finally, differences in the drip rate lead to a further enrichment in the $\delta^{13}C$ values (Mühlhausen et al., 2009; Deininger et al., 2012) of Bu4 and close the gap to the observed 1.3‰ offset between both stalagmites.

The increase in the $\delta^{13}C$ values of about 3 ‰ during the early Holocene and the subsequent decrease (Fig. 3c) may have been caused by several effects. Higher $\delta^{13}C$ values may originate from increased kinetic isotope fractionation on the stalagmite surface (Scholz et al., 2009; Mühlhausen et al., 2009) or even on the stalactite (Frisia et al., 2011) as well as by a larger contribution of host rock-derived C and/or by lower root respiration and soil microbial activity resulting in more positive soil gas $\delta^{13}C$ values (Cerling, 1984). Although it is difficult to identify which of these processes dominated the long-term trend in the $\delta^{13}C$ values of the stalagmite record, we hypothesise that more positive soil air $\delta^{13}C$ values modulated by vegetation density were the major influence. This assumption is based on the relatively slow adjustment of vegetation compared to the faster reaction time of carbonate dissolution or stable isotope fractionation to climatically induced changes. Hence, changes in root respiration and microbial activity due to changes in vegetation density seem to be responsible for increasing soil air $\delta^{13}C$ values probably due to lower soil respiration rates between 9 and

Fig. 4. Comparison of the detrended and smoothed (21-point moving average) Mg/Ca ratio (a) and $\delta^{13}C$ record (b) with the smoothed (21-point moving average) $\delta^{18}O$ record (c) of Bu4. The vertical light grey boxes represent the 8.2 ka event and the LIA. The yellow boxes represent periods of below average precipitation as indicated by elevated Mg/Ca ratios.
7 ka BP. This implies that the vegetation cover above the cave became thinner during this interval, which may be related to the relatively dry conditions between 7.7 and 7.3 ka BP inferred from the Mg/Ca ratio (Fig. 4a). After 6 ka, the stalagmite δ13C signal decreases implying the development of a denser vegetation cover or a thickening of the soil above the cave with concomitant higher soil bioproducitivity.

Superimposed on this first-order trend in δ13C, a higher frequency pattern is visible. This pattern resembles the second-order signal in Mg/Ca and is attributed to cave internal processes such as kinetic isotope fractionation. The degree of kinetic C isotope fractionation is mainly influenced by variations in drip rate (Dreybrodt, 2008; Mühlinghaus et al., 2009; Scholz et al., 2009; Deininger et al., 2012), which in turn reflects changes in karst hydrology and precipitation above the cave. Therefore, high δ13C values are assigned to periods of low drip rates and vice versa. Apart from periodically appearing differences, the overall similarity of the detrended and smoothed time series of Mg/Ca and δ13C for Bu4 (Fig. 4a and b) implies that slow drip rates correspond to periods of less precipitation.

4.3 δ18O values

Applying conventional evapotranspiration equations, Wackerbarth et al. (2010) estimated that about 40–50% of the annual precipitation is lost due to evapotranspiration processes. Thus, the contribution of summer precipitation to recharge of the karst aquifer is very low in the cave region. Furthermore, Münsterer et al. (2012) demonstrated, based on analysis of 36Cl, that the annual amount of evapotranspiration is even higher and may reach values between 68 and 88%. Thus, winter precipitation is the major proportion of the cave drip water and dominates its δ18O value.

The relationship between surface air temperature and δ18O of precipitation is positive (≈ 0.1–0.3‰ °C−1) and differs depending on the geographical position of the cave (Fricke and O’Neil, 1999). The temperature dependence of oxygen isotope fractionation during calcite precipitation counteracts this effect (≈ −0.24‰ °C−1). Therefore, the relationship between δ18O of calcite and surface temperature depends on the individual cave. Wackerbarth et al. (2010) demonstrated that the relationship is negative for Bunker Cave. The reason is a positive relationship between winter temperature and winter precipitation. Although warmer temperatures during winter lead to higher δ18O values in precipitation, they increase the amount of winter precipitation and its contribution to the drip water. Since winter precipitation has significantly lower δ18O values than precipitation from the other seasons, this leads in total to lower δ18O values of cave drip water and calcite. This explains the negative relationship between δ18O values in calcite and temperature as predicted by the temperature–δ18O relationship during calcite precipitation. In addition, in case of kinetic isotope fractionation, higher drip rates (probably due to increased precipitation) would also result in lower δ18O values (Mühlinghaus et al., 2009; Scholz et al., 2009; Dreybrodt and Scholz, 2011; Deininger et al., 2012). Therefore, we interpret the observed variations in speleothem δ18O as changes in both surface winter temperature and amount of winter precipitation. More positive δ18O values reflect cold and dry winters, whereas more negative δ18O values represent warmer and more humid winters.

In most periods, the smoothed δ18O record of Bu4 (21-point moving average) for the last 8 ka (Fig. 4c) shows large similarities with the detrended and smoothed Mg/Ca record (Fig. 4a). During relatively dry conditions (high Mg/Ca ratio), the δ18O values show more positive values than during relatively humid conditions (low Mg/Ca ratio). This pattern is particularly pronounced between 7.8 and 7.3 ka, 6 and 5.5 ka, 2.8 and 2.2 ka and during the LIA. In contrast, this relationship of high Mg/Ca and high δ18O does not hold for the period from 4.5 to 3.5 ka. As described above, changes in carbonate dissolution processes and PCP may be responsible for the observed variability in Mg/Ca. Variable PCP may also affect the δ18O signal. However, for the Bunker Cave stalagmites, PCP seems not to be of major importance for the δ18O values. This is confirmed by the differences in the Mg/Ca ratios of Bu1 and Bu4 (Fig. 3a). Bu1 only shows small variations in Mg/Ca indicating negligible PCP, but the δ18O values are very similar to those of Bu4, which shows relatively large variations in Mg/Ca suggesting a strong PCP. Furthermore, peaks in the Mg/Ca ratio of Bu4 are in most cases not coeval with peaks in δ18O (Fig. 4), which provides additional evidence that PCP did not strongly influence the speleothem δ18O values in Bunker Cave.

Data and modelling studies (Baldini et al., 2008; Langebroek et al., 2011) showed that δ18O values in precipitation over central Western Europe are influenced by a North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)-like pattern. As argued in Langebroek et al. (2011), the correlation pattern between the δ18O value of precipitation and atmospheric circulation over Europe is a result of the combined effect of temperature and precipitation. Heat and moisture are mainly transported to the European continent from the North Atlantic by the westerlies. Therefore, climate-related signals from the North Atlantic (e.g. the hematite-stained grains (HSG) record; Bond et al., 2001) and the Bunker Cave δ18O record are expected to show similar variations (Fig. 5). Cold periods, as indicated by increased percentages of HSG, indeed coincide in most cases with colder phases in western Germany (high δ18O values in speleothem calcite). Nevertheless, for certain periods, changes in storm tracks, which could be caused by the reorganisation of atmospheric circulation towards the end of the deglaciation, may also have contributed to the variability of the δ18O values in the speleothem calcite. The increase in the δ18O values during the early Holocene (between 10 and 8.5 ka) may rather be ascribed to such changes than to a decrease in temperature. For instance, the source region could have been shifted from southern locations with a lower δ18O value of the surface water to locations further north.
with higher $\delta^{18}O$ values in the surface water (Duplessy et al., 1992).

Ostracod $\delta^{18}O$ values from Lake Ammersee (von Grafenstein et al., 1998, 1999) reflect the $\delta^{18}O$ value of precipitation (Fig. 5b) over the northern rim of the Alps and are here used to constrain the $\delta^{18}O$ value of soil water above Bunker Cave. The signal-to-noise ratio of the Ammersee data is comparable to that of the composite Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ record (Fig. 5c). Throughout the Holocene, the composite $\delta^{18}O$ record of the four Bunker Cave stalagmites shows values ranging from $-7$ to $-5 \%$e and broadly follows the precipitation $\delta^{18}O$ signal reconstructed from Lake Ammersee. However, the Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ data highlight longer periods of high or low $\delta^{18}O$ values whose amplitude is also larger than that of the Ammersee record, suggesting that processes in soil and cave may modify the $\delta^{18}O$ value of the rainfall preserved in the stalagmites. In particular, variations in the (degree of) kinetic isotope fractionation may have a strong influence.

When comparing the Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ record with the $\delta^{18}O$ records from Atta Cave (Niggemann, 2000; Niggemann et al., 2003), Katerloch (Boch et al., 2009) and Spannagel Cave (Vollweiler et al., 2006), a similar structure is observed in all records. This indicates that the signal encoded in the Bunker Cave stalagmites represents supra-regional climate variability. A comparison of the composite Bunker Cave record and the other European stalagmite archives (Fig. 5) with the HSG record from the North Atlantic (Bond et al., 2001) suggests that the signal from the central European continent may even be representative for the North Atlantic region and large parts of Europe.

### 4.3.1 Little Ice Age vs. 8.2 ka event

Two prominent features in the composite Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ record (Fig. 5c) are the 8.2 ka event and the LIA, which occurred between 0.7 and 0.2 ka BP. The LIA is characterized by high Mg/Ca ratios as well as prominent maxima in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ in the Bunker record. The $\delta^{18}O$ values are the highest of the entire record. According to our interpretation, this shows that, during the LIA, central Europe experienced anomalously cold and dry winter conditions in agreement with the cold conditions observed in the North Atlantic (Bond et al., 2001) and the idea of a pronounced negative anomaly of the NAO during this period (Trouet et al., 2009).

Another prominent Holocene cold event was the 8.2 ka event, which shows the opposite behaviour in the Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ signal. This indicates that the origin of the cold events differs. The abrupt 8.2 ka event brought generally cold and dry conditions to the Northern Hemisphere, in particular during winter (Alley et al., 1997; Alley and Agüésdottir, 2005). This event was triggered by large amounts of melt water originating from the North American continent that freshened the North Atlantic and influenced the North Atlantic circulation by a curtailment of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) formation (Alley et al., 1997; Barber et al., 1999; Rohling and Pälike, 2005). In central-northern Europe, this event led to more negative $\delta^{18}O$ values in precipitation (von Grafenstein et al., 1999; LeGrande and Schmidt, 2008). This depletion in rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ is also recorded in the Bunker Cave $\delta^{18}O$ record (Fig. 5). However, the amplitude of the 8.2 ka event in the stalagmite record ($\approx 0.4 \%$e) is lower than in the Ammersee precipitation record ($\approx 0.7 \%$e), using for both
numbers a $\approx \pm 600$ a window around the main event. This dampening most likely reflects the temperature decrease, which is responsible for a stronger oxygen isotope fractionation and consequently for more positive $\delta^{18}O$ values during colder periods. Following Mühlinghaus et al. (2009), the difference in the observed $\delta^{18}O$ amplitudes can be obtained by a $1.2^\circ$C cooling during the 8.2 ka event assuming present-day drip rates for the $\Delta \delta^{18}O/\Delta T$ slope ($\approx 0.25 \%^JC^{-1}$). This estimate is slightly smaller than the temperature drop deduced from the Ammersee data (1.7°C; von Grafenstein et al., 1998). Throughout the North Atlantic and central-northern European region, the sharp 8.2 ka event occurs within a broader anomaly (Rohling and Pälike, 2005). However, this wider, more subtle depletion is not observed in the Bunker Cave stalagmites. Furthermore, the Mg/Ca ratios of the Bunker Cave record are relatively low during the 8.2 ka event (Fig. 4a) suggesting average or even slightly more humid conditions. Thus, climate conditions were probably not exceptionally dry in central Europe during the 8.2 ka event.

5 Conclusions

We present multi-proxy data of four partly overlapping Holocene stalagmites collected within a distance of 12 m in Bunker Cave. After the pioneering multi-proxy record from three stalagmites from Marcelo Arévalo-cave in Chile (Schimpf et al., 2011), this is, to the best of our knowledge, one of the first available multi-proxy, multi-record stalagmite studies. The individual proxy time series agree well between the four stalagmites, which suggests that the observed signals are climate related. We use the $\delta^{18}O$ values to improve the age-depth model with a recently published age-depth modelling software (iscam, Fohlmeister, 2012). In addition, we show for the first time that differences in the $\delta^{13}C$ values between two stalagmites (Bu1 and Bu4) were partly caused by carbonate dissolution dynamics ($\approx 40 \%$). The remaining $\approx 60 \%$ of the differences are a result of PCP and the difference in the drip rate. This range is in agreement with isotope fractionation modelling studies.

The measured Mg/Ca ratio, $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values allow a reconstruction of past winter climate variability in central Europe. High Mg/Ca values at the detrended record highlight dry periods within the Holocene. Accordingly, high $\delta^{13}C$ values of the detrended record are ascribed to low drip rates due to dry conditions above the cave. More positive $\delta^{18}O$ values during the last 10.8 ka reflect colder and dryer winters. An exception in this context is the 8.2 ka cold event, which shows a prominent negative $\delta^{18}O$ excursion. A wider, more subtle cooling around the 8.2 ka event is not observed. The lower $\delta^{18}O$ values during the cold event are ascribed to a negative anomaly in the $\delta^{18}O$ values of precipitation over central Europe triggered by changes in the North Atlantic Ocean circulation due to increased freshwater input. For the 8.2 ka event, we estimate the temperature anomaly to be about $-1.2^\circ$C at our location. The stalagmite Mg/Ca ratios show no anomaly during this period indicating that the amount of precipitation did not change considerably at our site. In addition, the $\delta^{18}O$ values during the two main cold events (i.e. 8.2 ka event and the LIA) show an opposite behaviour clearly indicating that the underlying climatic processes are completely different. Finally, we show that the $\delta^{18}O$ values from the Bunker Cave stalagmites agree well with other central European climate archives as well as with records from the North Atlantic. The similarity between the North Atlantic and central Europe records can be ascribed to the prevailing westwinds, which transported the climatic signal from the Atlantic to the continent. Cold and dry periods are observed between 8 and 7 ka, 6.5 and 5.5 ka, 4 and 3 ka as well as between 0.7 and 0.2 ka.
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